	Approved For Release 2002/06/11 : CIA-RDP67B00820R000300180019-3
	W-13
	Copy 2 of Four.
	25 May 1962
	SUBJECT: Contract WE-1025 Preliminary Design Study
	ENCLOSURE (1) - CPIF Type Contract Considerations Dtd 5/24/62 ENCLOSURE (2) - Security Discussion W-12 Dtd 5/25/62
	Dear Temp:
25X1A	This is to inform you that we are handcarrying to Rus five copies each of four volumes entitled Report of Three
25X1A	Month Preliminary Design For dated 5/25/62.
25X1A	Volume I of this report concerns system design/ development; Volume II - Volume III - Recorder Correlator Display Design and Volume IV GSE Design and Program Management. Five additional copies of each of these volumes will be hand carried on June 4 for your meeting. The submission of ten copies of this report completes the requirement of Item of the contract.
25X1A	We are submitting with this letter information on security and CPIF type contract considerations. This information was not submitted with the report because of the nature of the information and the distribution of these items, we feel should be limited. A copy of our discussions on both of these items (Enclosures 1 and 2) are being mailed to with his copy of this letter.
25744	Sincerely,
25X1A [™] /	> cc:

Approved For Release 2002/06/11: CIA-RDP67B00820R000300180010-3

Saclosure (1)

PPD 3406-62

COPY OF

CFIF TIPE COMPACT CONSIDERATIONS

25X1A

The nature of the work required by this program appears to fall in a category for which CFIF contracts are applicable as noted in ASPR 3405.4.

is convently working on a number of CFIF contracts and is familiar with the unique problems and mutual advantages of such contracts. In accepting such a contract, past experience indicates that a number of factors must be summificated by both parties to determine the mutual desireability of a CFIF type contract. For instance:

- 1. CPIF contracting requires more administrative close follow by both the customer and the contractor. Proposed contract changes must be evaluated for their effect on the incentive formula(s) and negotiated on a timely basis. Both parties must be prepared to seggest the program with the additional administrative effort required.
- 2. Selection of the incentive factors and the manner they affect the fee must be determined. Incentive fee can be based on any or all of a manner of performance eritoria e.g. coet, delivery, product performance. If multiple critoria are used the specific factors, the pertion of fee applicable to each, the definition of performance of each factor ve fee and the manner by which each factor is measured must be clearly set forth and understood by all participants to avoid disagreement when evaluating the effort of changes and settling the final fee.
- 3. After developing a mutually agreeable incentive fee formula, program plea and firm specification, the amgotintion for estimated cost and incentive target fee would be based on a quetation reflecting any changes from our current proposal and conventional CFFF quotation. In considering such a revised quotation, it should be recognized that a CFFF type contract is intended <u>primarily</u> to provide the contractor as incentive to perform in excess of contract requirements, ruther than penalize him.

If after considering these factors it is determined a CPIF contract is matually desirable, we will be glad to enter into such a contractual relationship. Otherwise, it is understood a conventional CPFF type contract will be penaltisted.

Approved For Release 2002/06/11: CIA-RDP67B00820R00030078007042

DPD- 3406-62 COPY OF

W-12

Copy 6 of Eight.

25 May 1962

SECURITY DISCUSSION

The security arrangement and procedures which have been followed on the proceding three month effort are considered still applicable and effective for the program proposed.

In view of the following salient points characterized security on this program:

- 1. Need to know security requirements were strictly caforced.
- 2. For internal administration purposes, both Itek's subcontract and our contract have been handled in essentially a conventional manner. This eliminated attracting attention as an unusual order.
- 3. Where required by the mature of their responsibilities, key people have been cleared and briefed. Other people participating are assigned work without divulging sensitive information. Everyone angaged on the program, whether briefed or not, are instructed this program is classified. Secret and that they are to discuss no aspect of the job with anyone other than project or designated people. (This procedure is not unique in the angineering group involved.)
- 4. The SCARD program and the three month program have been naturally related . . i.e., use of the same seguizant Air Force office and the preliminary design work being an application for a state of the art development (SCARD).

Continuation of this approach is recommended since it

- (1) Safeguards disclosure of sensitive information to uncleared people.
- (2) Is consistent with past practices and would not attract undue attention.

- (3) Does not unduly restrict essential communications required to conduct the program.
- (4) Does not compromise any aspect of the SOARD program and retains its state of the art development image.

A project organization has been proposed in Section 7 of the proposal which is compatible with this approach; it combines the SCARD program and the proposed program in one project group. But only does this provide an effective use of manpower but, we believe, allows each program to compliment the other in regard to program intent as interpreted by unbriefed people. However, if we are selected for this program, we would not establish this organization without your approval because of security considerations.